46 Jamaica Road, Malvern, Worcestershire WR14 1TU Tel +44 (0)7711 538854 carlytinkler@hotmail.co.uk

# Tables of Criteria and Matrices for Landscape Assessment (LSCA & LVIA)

#### **Landscape**

- Table 1: Criteria for Judging Levels of Landscape Quality
- Table 2: Criteria for Judging Levels of Landscape Value
- Table 3: Criteria for Judging Levels of Landscape Character Sensitivity (LSCA)
- Table 4: Criteria for Judging Levels of Landscape Susceptibility to Change
- Table 5: Matrix for Evaluating Levels of Landscape Sensitivity
- Table 6: Criteria for Judging Levels of Magnitude of Effect (Landscape Character)
- Table 7: Matrix for Evaluating Overall Level of Landscape Effects

#### <u>Visual</u>

- **Table 8**: Criteria for Judging Levels of Visual Value
- Table 9: Criteria for Judging Levels of Visual Sensitivity (LSCA)
- Table 10: Criteria for Judging Levels of Visual Receptors' Susceptibility to Change
- Table 11: Matrix for Evaluating Levels of Visual Receptor Sensitivity
- **Table 12:** Criteria for Judging Levels of Magnitude of Effect (Views and Visual Amenity)
- Table 13: Matrix for Determining Overall Levels of Visual Effects

#### **Landscape Capacity**

 Table 14: Matrix for Determining Overall Levels of Landscape Capacity (LSCA)

Table 1: Criteria for Judging Levels of Landscape Quality

Level of Quality	Definition
Very High	Landscapes of an 'awe-inspiring' or 'sublime' nature and which are important and valued on an international and national level (DMRB)
	<ul> <li>Unspoilt areas comprising a strong, clear and highly aesthetically-pleasing composition of highly characteristic landscape elements and features in excellent condition, intact and distinctive</li> </ul>
	Excellent representation of the landscape area / type
	Very high level of management, or care, or pristine natural / semi-natural environment
	Exceptional scenic integrity
	Very strong sense of place
	Negligible or no atypical or incongruous features or detractors
High	Very attractive landscapes which are of high value nationally and can be defined as highly scenic (DMRB)
	Areas with components combined in an aesthetically pleasing composition, in very good condition
	Very good representation of the landscape area / type
	High level of management, or care, or natural / semi-natural environment in very good form and health
	Very good scenic integrity
	Strong sense of place
	Few atypical or incongruous features or detractors
Moderate	Good landscape containing areas that, although still attractive, have less significant and more common landscape features (DMRB)
	Areas of some value for their landscapes, components combined in an aesthetically pleasing composition but showing signs of erosion and loss, in good to fair condition
	Good to fair representation of the landscape area / type
	Good to fair level of management, environment in good to fair form and health
	Good to fair scenic integrity
	<ul> <li>Some loss of, or change to, intrinsic sense of place</li> <li>Some atypical or incongruous features or detractors</li> </ul>
	Some atypical of incongruous features of detractors
Low	<ul> <li>Ordinary landscape containing areas that have only common landscape features and some intrusive elements such as conspicuous infrastructure with scope for improvement in management (DMRB)</li> </ul>
	Areas of limited landscape value, disturbed and lacking coherence and structure.     Limited aesthetically-pleasing composition. Signs of urbanisation and / or erosion, characteristic landscape elements and features degraded and / or lost
	Limited representation of the landscape area / type
	Limited management, or care, environment in fair to poor form and health
	Poor scenic integrity
	Little if any sense of place
	Several atypical or incongruous features or detractors

Level of Quality	Definition
Very Low	Poor landscape with areas that contain frequent detracting aspects and/or lack of management which results in a degraded landscape with very few valued features (DMRB)
	<ul> <li>Areas with few or no valued landscape components or comprising degraded and / or lost characteristic elements and features, making negative contribution to aesthetic composition</li> </ul>
	Poor or no representation of the landscape area / type
	Little or no management, or care, environment in very poor form and health
	Little or no scenic integrity
	Negative sense of place
	Widespread atypical or incongruous features or detractors

Table 2: Criteria for Judging Levels of Landscape Value

Level of Value	Definition
Very High	'Outstanding' landscapes (ELC)
	Internationally and / or nationally-designated landscapes e.g. World Heritage Sites, National Parks, AONBs
	Presence of internationally and / or nationally-designated areas / features of landscape, nature conservation, archaeological, historic, geological and / or other importance e.g. SACs, SSSIs, Scheduled Monuments, Grade I and / or II* listed buildings, Registered Historic Parks and Gardens, Local Geodiversity Sites
	Significant wider landscape / visual function e.g. Green Belt, context / setting of heritage asset, contribution to character of settlement of international or national importance
	Landscapes in excellent condition and / or of very high quality as defined by appropriate criteria.
	Significant cultural associations
	Exceptional representation of landscape area / type / characteristics and / or rare
	Exceptional aesthetic and perceptual attributes and qualities e.g. significant scenic beauty, iconic views, very distinctive sense of place, very high degree of wildness / remoteness, tranquillity
	No detractors present
	The quality / qualities of, and / or features in, the landscape are likely to be the primary purpose of the visit
	Significant contribution to wider public amenity, access and recreation e.g. national trails, Open Access Land
	Significant Green Infrastructure assets
High	Landscapes between 'Outstanding' and 'Everyday' (ELC)
	Regionally / locally-designated landscapes e.g. Areas of Great Landscape Value (AGLV) which may be subject of strategy and / or guidance
	Presence of regionally / countywide-level designated areas / features of landscape, nature conservation, archaeological, historic, geological and / or other importance e.g. Country Parks, TPOs, National Forest Inventory, Priority Habitat Inventory sites, Local Wildlife Sites / Local Nature Reserves, Grade II Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas, Unregistered Historic Parks and Gardens, SMR / HER. Also National Trust land
	• Important wider, or significant local, landscape / visual function e.g. context / setting of heritage asset, contribution to character of settlement of regional importance, green gap, buffer zone etc.
	Landscapes in very good condition and / or of high quality as defined by appropriate criteria
	Important cultural associations
	Very good representation of landscape area / type / characteristics and / or uncommon
	<ul> <li>Very good aesthetic and perceptual attributes and qualities e.g. high degree of scenic beauty, fine / key views, distinctive sense of place, high degree of wildness / remoteness, tranquillity</li> </ul>
	Negligible / few detractors present
	The quality / qualities of, and / or features in, the landscape are likely to be one of the main reasons for the visit
	Important contribution to wider public amenity, access and recreation e.g. long-distance / themed trails, well-used public rights of way, Heritage Coast, Public Open Space / Local Green Space. May be protected by / subject of planning policy
	Important wider, or significant local Green Infrastructure assets

Level of Value	Definition
Moderate	<ul> <li>'Everyday' landscapes (ELC)</li> <li>Undesignated landscapes although may be subject of strategy and / or guidance</li> <li>Presence of undesignated, 'informally' designated and / or locally-important areas / features of landscape, nature conservation, archaeological, historic, geological and / or other interest</li> <li>Important local landscape / visual function e.g. context / setting of heritage asset, contribution to character of settlement, green gap, buffer zone etc.</li> <li>Landscapes in good to fair condition and / or of moderate quality as defined by appropriate criteria but good potential for improvement</li> <li>Important local cultural associations</li> <li>Good to fair representation of landscape area / type / characteristics but common</li> <li>Good to fair aesthetic and perceptual attributes and qualities e.g. moderate degree of scenic beauty, local key views, moderate sense of place, moderate degree of wildness / remoteness, tranquillity</li> <li>Some detractors present</li> <li>The quality / qualities of, and / or features in, the landscape are unlikely to be one of the main reasons for the visit, but make a positive contribution to the experience</li> <li>Important contribution to local public amenity, access and recreation e.g. well-used public rights of way, green open spaces, common land</li> </ul>
Low	<ul> <li>Good local Green Infrastructure assets</li> <li>Landscapes between 'Everyday' and 'Degraded' (ELC)</li> <li>Undesignated landscapes unlikely to be subject of strategy and / or guidance (unless for restoration)</li> <li>Few if any areas / features of landscape, nature conservation, archaeological, historic, geological and / or other interest</li> <li>Little or no local landscape / visual function</li> <li>Landscapes in fair to poor condition and / or of low quality as defined by appropriate criteria but some potential for improvement</li> <li>Few if any cultural associations</li> <li>Fair to poor representation of landscape area / type / characteristics and common</li> <li>Few if any aesthetic and perceptual attributes and qualities: little sense of place, little or no sense of wildness / remoteness, tranquillity</li> <li>Several detractors present</li> <li>The quality / qualities of, and / or features in, the landscape are unlikely to be a reason for visiting</li> <li>Little or no contribution to public amenity, access and recreation</li> <li>Few Green Infrastructure assets</li> </ul>

Level of Value	Definition
Very Low	<ul> <li>'Degraded' landscapes (ELC)</li> <li>Undesignated landscapes, and not subject of strategy and / or guidance (unless for restoration)</li> <li>No areas / features of landscape, nature conservation, archaeological, historic, geological and / or other interest</li> <li>Negligible or no landscape / visual function</li> <li>Landscapes in very poor condition and / or of very low quality as defined by appropriate criteria – may be contaminated land. Situation likely to be permanent, and very little if any potential for improvement</li> <li>No cultural associations</li> <li>Poor representation of landscape area / type / characteristics</li> <li>Negative aesthetic and perceptual attributes and qualities: no sense of place, high levels of landscape and visual disturbance</li> <li>Widespread detractors present / dominant</li> <li>The quality / qualities of, and / or features in, the landscape may discourage people from visiting</li> <li>No contribution to public amenity, access and recreation</li> <li>Very few or no Green Infrastructure assets</li> </ul>

Table 3: Criteria for Judging Levels of Landscape Character Sensitivity (LSCA)

Level of Character Sensitivity	Definition
Very High	Natural Factors
, ,	Unique / internationally- or nationally-rare / important / designated landscape, heritage, and / or biodiversity areas / features
	Features are irreplaceable and cannot be substituted
	Strongly defining features (geology, topography, hydrology, vegetation, landcover etc.) make highly important contribution to intrinsic character
	Unpolluted (air, soil, water)
	Cultural / Social Factors
	Highly characteristic / traditional landuse
	Unsettled, or very sparsely-settled with strongly characteristic patterns, small-scale / historic / vernacular built form. Absence of modern development
	Significant time depth
	Landscape Quality / Condition
	Landscapes of very high quality and in excellent condition / intact (refer criteria for Landscape Quality in Table 1)
	Highly important contribution to, and excellent representation of, several landscape character areas / types
	Highly distinctive character and very strong sense of place
	Perceptual and Aesthetic Factors
	Exceptional perceptual and aesthetic qualities
	Exceptional scenic beauty
	Complex patterns, intact, intimate scale, very harmonious
	Highly distinctive, undeveloped skylines / skylines with iconic historic landmarks
	Physically or perceptually extremely remote
	Extremely tranquil
	Absence of movement / disturbance
	Highly important function
	Internationally- / nationally-important cultural associations
	National trails / Open Access Land give access to exceptional landscapes
	OVERALL SENSITIVITY: Unique / rare landscape character, key characteristics and highly important areas / elements / features are fragile and highly vulnerable to the type of change proposed

Level of Character Sensitivity	Definition
High	<ul> <li>Natural Factors</li> <li>Regionally- / locally-rare / important / designated landscape, heritage, and / or biodiversity areas / features</li> <li>Features would be very difficult to replace or substitute</li> <li>Strongly defining features (geology, topography, hydrology, vegetation, landcover etc.) make important contribution to intrinsic character</li> <li>Negligible pollution (air, soil, water)</li> <li>Cultural / Social Factors</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Characteristic / traditional landuse</li> <li>Sparsely-settled with characteristic patterns, small-scale / historic / vernacular built form. Limited modern development</li> <li>Important time depth</li> <li>Landscape Quality / Condition</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Landscapes of high quality and in very good condition / predominantly intact (refer criteria for Landscape Quality in Table 1)</li> <li>Important contribution to, and very good representation of, landscape character area / type</li> <li>Very distinctive character and strong sense of place</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Perceptual and Aesthetic Factors</li> <li>Very good perceptual and aesthetic qualities</li> <li>High level of scenic beauty</li> <li>Diverse patterns, intact, small-scale, well-balanced</li> <li>Predominantly undeveloped skylines / skylines with important historic landmarks</li> <li>Physically or perceptually fairly remote</li> <li>Very / fairly tranquil</li> <li>Very limited movement / disturbance</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Very important function</li> <li>Regionally-important cultural associations</li> <li>Long-distance / themed trails / well-used rights of way / public open spaces give access to high quality landscapes</li> <li>OVERALL SENSITIVITY: Regionally-rare / valuable landscape character, key characteristics and important areas / elements / features are not robust and are vulnerable to the type of change proposed</li> </ul>

Level of D Character Sensitivity	efinition
	Landscape Quality / Condition  Landscapes of moderate quality and in good to fair condition but some erosion / loss (refer criteria for Landscape Quality in Table 1)  Good to fair contribution to, and good to fair representation of, landscape character type but commonplace  Signs of erosion of character and moderate sense of place  Perceptual and Aesthetic Factors  Good to fair perceptual and aesthetic qualities  Moderate level of scenic beauty  Fairly simple patterns, some loss and erosion, medium-scale, some discordance  Some development on skylines / skylines with important local historic landmarks

Level of Character Sensitivity	Definition
Low	Natural Factors
	Undesignated landscape, heritage, and / or biodiversity areas / features
	Good potential for replacement / substitution of features and improvements
	Defining features (geology, topography, hydrology, vegetation, landcover etc.) make limited contribution to local character
	Noticeable levels of pollution (air, soil, water)
	Cultural / Social Factors
	Landuse retains little original / intrinsic character with strong modern trends
	Densely-settled, loss / erosion of characteristic patterns. Medium- to large-scale built form, predominantly modern development
	Little time depth
	Landscape Quality / Condition
	<ul> <li>Landscapes of low quality and in fair to poor condition with noticeable erosion / loss (refer criteria for Landscape Quality in Table 1)</li> </ul>
	Limited contribution to, and fair to poor representation of, landscape character type and very commonplace
	Considerable erosion of character and little sense of place
	Perceptual and Aesthetic Factors
	Few / poor / negative perceptual and aesthetic qualities
	Low / no scenic beauty
	<ul> <li>Very simple patterns, regular and uniform, loss and erosion, medium- to large- scale, discordant</li> </ul>
	Non-prominent / screened skylines. Developed / built or cluttered skyline character
	Very little physical / no perceptual remoteness
	Not tranquil
	Noticeable movement / disturbance
	Little or no function
	Few if any local cultural associations
	Very limited access to, or amenity within, wider landscape
	OVERALL SENSITIVITY: Common landscape character, key characteristics and areas / elements / features are very robust and have considerable resilience to / tolerance of the type of change proposed

Natural Factors  Undesignated landscape, heritage, and / or biodiversity areas / features  Very good potential for replacement / substitution of features and / or improvements  No defining features (geology, topography, hydrology, vegetation, landcover etc.), or very limited contribution to local character  High levels of pollution (air, soil, water)  Cultural / Social Factors  Landuse retains no original / intrinsic character, modern trends widespread  Very densely-settled, very high loss / erosion of characteristic patterns. Large-scale, modern built form  No time depth  Landscape Quality / Condition  Landscape Quality / Condition  Landscape Guality / Condition  No contribution to, and not representative of, landscape Quality in Table 1)  No contribution to, and not representative of, landscape character type  Significant erosion of character and no sense of place  Perceptual and Aesthetic Factors  No getterns, or random. Large-scale, chaotic  Screened skylines. Fully-developed / built / highly cluttered skyline character  No sense of remoteness  No tranquillity  Significant movement / disturbance  No function  No cultural associations  No access to, or amenity within, wider landscape
OVERALL SENSITIVITY: Landscape is very robust and has very high resilience to / tolerance of the type of change proposed

Table 4: Criteria for Judging Levels of Landscape Susceptibility to Change

Level of Susceptibility	Definition
	The landscape is of a very large scale and / or there is a negligible level of containment, resulting in a significant degree of interaction between landform, topography, vegetation cover, field pattern and built form
	There is no existing reference or context within the landscape to the type of change / development proposed
	Detracting features are not present in the area
Very High	<ul> <li>The majority of the existing landscape characteristics / elements / features of value (e.g. ancient woodland, mature / veteran trees, traditional orchards etc.) could not be replaced / substituted and their loss could not be compensated for</li> </ul>
	Very limited or no opportunities for mitigation
	The landscape receptor has a very low level of ability to tolerate the nature / scale of change / development proposed: permanent serious negative consequences in terms of the maintenance of the baseline situation
	The proposed change / development would not comply with relevant national planning policies, guidance, and / or strategies
	The landscape is of a large scale and / or there is a low level of containment, resulting in a high degree of interaction between landform, topography, vegetation cover, field pattern and built form
	There is very limited existing reference or context within the landscape to the type of change / development proposed
	Few detracting features in the area and where present, these have little influence on the character and experience of the landscape
High	<ul> <li>Many of the existing landscape characteristics / elements / features of value would not be easy to replace or substitute, and it is unlikely that loss could be compensated for</li> </ul>
	Some potential for mitigation and enhancement
	The landscape receptor has a low level of ability to tolerate the nature / scale of change / development proposed: long-term / permanent consequences of concern in terms of the maintenance of the baseline situation
	The proposed change / development is unlikely to comply with relevant national planning policies, guidance, and / or strategies
Moderate	The landscape is of a medium scale and / or there is a moderate level of containment, resulting in a moderate degree of interaction between landform, topography, vegetation cover, field pattern and built form
	There is some existing reference or context within the landscape to the type of change / development proposed
	Some detracting features and / or major infrastructure are present in the area, and these have a noticeable influence on the character and experience of the landscape
	Existing landscape characteristics / elements / features of limited value and could potentially be replaced / substituted, and / or loss satisfactorily compensated for
	Good potential for mitigation and enhancement
	The landscape receptor has a moderate level of ability to tolerate the nature / scale of change / development proposed: some concern in terms of the maintenance of the baseline situation without mitigation
	The proposed change / development may be in conflict with some relevant national planning policies, guidance, and / or strategies, but may comply with others

Level of Susceptibility	Definition
	<ul> <li>The landscape is small scale and / or has a high level of containment, resulting in only a slight degree of interaction between landform, topography, vegetation cover, field pattern and built form</li> <li>There are many existing references within the landscape to the type of development</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>/ change proposed</li> <li>Several detractors present which have a negative influence on the character and / or experience of the landscape</li> </ul>
Low	Few / no landscape characteristics / elements / features of value are present or, where they are present, they can easily be replaced / substituted and / or loss could be satisfactorily compensated for
	The landscape receptor has a high level of ability to tolerate the nature / scale of change / development proposed: limited concern in terms of the maintenance of the baseline situation
	Very good opportunities for mitigation and enhancement
	The proposed change / development is unlikely to be in conflict with relevant national planning policies, guidance, and / or strategies. The site may be allocated for the type of development proposed
	The landscape is of such a small scale and / or has such a high level of containment, that there is little or no interaction between landform, topography, vegetation cover, field pattern and built form
	The landscape displays the characteristics of the type of development / change proposed
	Widespread detractors present which negatively influence the character and / or experience of the landscape
Very Low	No landscape characteristics / elements / features of value are present
	The landscape receptor has a very high level of ability to tolerate the nature / scale of change / development proposed: no concern in terms of the maintenance of the baseline situation
	Change / development could result in noticeable improvements to the area
	The proposed change / development is likely to comply with relevant national planning policies, guidance, and / or strategies. The site may be allocated for the type of development proposed or for restoration

Table 5: Matrix for Evaluating Levels of Landscape Sensitivity

		Level of Landscape Susceptibility to Change				
		Very High	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
Level of Landscape Value	Very High	Very High	High to Very High	High	Medium to High	Medium
	High	High to Very High	High	Medium to High	Medium	Low to Medium
	Moderate	High	Medium to High	Medium	Low to Medium	Low
	Low	Medium to High	Medium	Low to Medium	Low	Very Low to Low
	Very Low	Medium	Low to Medium	Low	Very Low to Low	Very Low

Table 6: Criteria for Judging Levels of Magnitude of Effect (Landscape Character)

Level of Magnitude	Definition		
	Major alteration to, or complete loss of, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition		
	The size, scale and / or geographical extent of change is considered very large due to the extent and proportion of loss of, or change to, existing landscape components		
Very Large Adverse	Effects likely to be experienced at a very large scale, influencing several character areas or types		
Adverse	<ul> <li>Major alteration to, or complete loss of, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition, and / or the addition of highly uncharacteristic, conspicuous elements, features and / activities, would result in major alteration to, or complete loss of, aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities</li> </ul>		
	The duration of effect would be considered permanent and is likely to be irreversible		
	Noticeable alteration to, or significant loss of, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition		
	The size, scale and / or geographical extent of change is considered large due to the extent and proportion of loss of, or change to, existing landscape components		
Large Adverse	Effects likely to be experienced at a large scale, influencing the character area and / or type within which the change is proposed		
Large Adverse	Noticeable alteration to, or loss of, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition, and / or the addition of uncharacteristic, conspicuous elements, features and / activities, would result in noticeable alteration to, or loss of, aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities		
	The duration of effect would be considered long-term / permanent and would be very difficult to reverse in practical terms		
	Partial alteration to, or loss of, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition		
	The size, scale and / or geographical extent of change is considered medium due to the extent and proportion of loss of, or change to, existing landscape components		
Moderate	Effects likely to be experienced at a moderate scale, influencing the character type within which the change is proposed but at a local level		
Adverse	Partial alteration to, or loss of, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition, and / or the addition of elements, features and / activities which are not uncharacteristic in the area, would result in partial alteration to, or loss of, aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities		
	The duration of effect would be considered long-term / permanent but is potentially reversible		
	Minor alteration to key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition		
	The size, scale and / or geographical extent of change is considered small due to the extent and proportion of loss of, or change to, existing landscape components		
Small Adverse	Effects likely to be experienced at a small scale, influencing the landscape within which the change is proposed at a local level		
onian Auvorou	Minor alteration to, or loss of, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition, and / or the addition of elements, features and / activities which are characteristic in the area, would result in minor alteration to aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities		
	The duration of effect may be considered long-term / permanent but is easily reversible; or, the duration may be medium-term		

Level of Magnitude	Definition			
Negligible Adverse	<ul> <li>Barely discernible alterations to key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition</li> <li>The size, scale and / or geographical extent of change is considered very small due to the extent and proportion of loss of, or change to, existing landscape components</li> <li>Effects likely to be experienced at a very small scale, with no influence beyond the site and its immediate surroundings on the landscape within which the change is proposed</li> <li>Barely discernible alterations to key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition, and / or the addition of elements, features and / activities which are entirely characteristic in the area, would result in barely discernible alteration to aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities</li> <li>The duration of effect may be considered temporary (i.e. short- or medium-term); if long-term, effects are easily reversible and this is likely to happen</li> </ul>			
Neutral	No change to the baseline condition			
Negligible Beneficial	<ul> <li>Barely discernible improvements to key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition</li> <li>The size, scale and / or geographical extent of improvement is considered very small due to the extent and proportion of new landscape components</li> <li>Beneficial effects likely to be experienced at a very small scale, with no influence beyond the site and its immediate surroundings on the landscape within which the improvement is proposed</li> <li>Barely discernible improvements to existing, or addition of new, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition would result in barely discernible improvements in aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities</li> <li>Improvements are temporary (i.e. short- or medium-term)</li> </ul>			
Small Beneficial	<ul> <li>Small but noticeable improvements to key elements, features, characteristics are functions of the baseline condition</li> <li>The size, scale and / or geographical extent of improvement is considered small due to the extent and proportion of new landscape components</li> <li>Beneficial effects likely to be experienced at a small scale, influencing the local landscape</li> <li>Small but noticeable improvements to existing, or addition of new, key element features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition would result discernible improvements in aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities</li> <li>Improvements are medium- to long-term</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Noticeable improvements to key elements, features, characteristics and for of the baseline condition</li> <li>The size, scale and / or geographical extent of improvement is considered due to the extent and proportion of new landscape components</li> <li>Beneficial effects likely to be experienced at a moderate scale, influence character type within which the change is proposed but at a local level</li> <li>Noticeable improvements to existing, or addition of new, key elements, for characteristics and functions of the baseline condition would result in no improvements in aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities</li> <li>Improvements are long-term / permanent</li> </ul>				

Level of Magnitude	Definition		
Large Beneficial	<ul> <li>Major improvements to key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition</li> <li>The size, scale and / or geographical extent of improvement is considered large due to the extent and proportion of new landscape components</li> <li>Beneficial effects likely to be experienced at a large scale, influencing the character area and / or type within which the change is proposed</li> <li>Major improvements to existing, or addition of new, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition would result in considerable improvements in aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities</li> <li>Improvements are long-term / permanent</li> </ul>		
Very Large Beneficial	<ul> <li>Substantial improvements to key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition</li> <li>The size, scale and / or geographical extent of improvement is considered very large due to the extent and proportion of new landscape components</li> <li>Beneficial effects likely to be experienced at a very large scale, influencing several character areas or types</li> <li>Substantial improvements to existing, or addition of new, key elements, features, characteristics and functions of the baseline condition would result in substantial improvements in aesthetic and / or perceptual qualities</li> <li>Improvements are permanent</li> </ul>		

## Table 7: Matrix for Evaluating Overall Levels of Landscape Effects

**NOTE 1:** The level of Magnitude of Effect can be expressed as Adverse or Beneficial, and the overall Level of Effect can be expressed as Negative or Positive.

NOTE 2: If the Magnitude of Effect is Neutral (i.e. 'No Change'), all effects will be Neutral

		Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low
Level of Magnitude of Effect	Very Large	Major	Major	Moderate to Major	Moderate	Moderate
	Large	Major	Moderate to Major	Moderate	Moderate	Minor to Moderate
	Moderate	Moderate to Major	Moderate	Moderate	Minor to Moderate	Minor
	Small	Moderate	Moderate	Minor to Moderate	Minor	Negligible to Minor
	Negligible	Moderate	Minor to Moderate	Minor	Negligible to Minor	Negligible

Table 8: Criteria for Judging Levels of Visual Value

Level of Visual Value	Criteria
	<ul> <li>Views from, or towards, designated landscapes and / or features of international and national importance e.g. World Heritage Sites, National Parks, AONBs, Registered Historic Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Monuments, Grade I or II* Listed Buildings etc.) especially where contributing to the significance of an asset / feature</li> <li>View is of outstanding scenic beauty</li> </ul>
Very High	View makes a highly important contribution to understanding of landscape function / contribution
	Likely to be the subject of planning policy and / or guidance / protected views
	<ul> <li>Views from landscapes / viewpoints within highly popular visitor attractions / tourist destinations, and / or from national trails, used by very large numbers of people</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Views with social / cultural / historic associations (e.g. in art and literature, or an historically-important vista over a battlefield) of international / national importance</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Views in which receptors have a proprietary interest, including people living in residential properties</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Views from within, or towards, designated landscapes and / or features of regional or countywide importance e.g. Areas of Great Landscape Value (AGLV), Country Parks, Conservation Areas, Grade II listed buildings, National Trust land etc., especially where contributing to the significance of an asset / feature</li> </ul>
	View is of high scenic beauty
High	View makes an important contribution to understanding of landscape function / contribution
	<ul> <li>Views from well-used and popular visitor attractions / tourist destinations, including long-distance / themed trails, Heritage Coasts, Public Open Spaces / Local Green Spaces, used by relatively large numbers of people</li> </ul>
	Views with social / cultural / historic associations of countywide importance
	<ul> <li>Views from within, or towards, undesignated landscapes and / or features of local importance</li> </ul>
	View is of moderate scenic beauty
Moderate	View makes a moderate contribution to understanding of landscape function / contribution
	<ul> <li>Views from locally-popular recreation areas / green open spaces / public rights of way, but not used by many visitors</li> </ul>
	Views with social / cultural / historic associations of local importance
	<ul> <li>Views from within, or towards, undesignated landscapes and / or features of site- wide importance</li> </ul>
	View is of low scenic beauty
Low	<ul> <li>View makes a very limited contribution to understanding of landscape function / contribution</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Views from landscapes / viewpoints which are not particularly popular or recognised as being destinations in their own right, including infrequently used rights of way</li> </ul>
	Views with no social / cultural / historic associations
Very Low	<ul> <li>Views from, or towards, undesignated landscapes and / or features of no importance</li> </ul>
•	View is of no scenic beauty - landscape may be permanently degraded

Table 9: Criteria for Judging Levels of Visual Sensitivity (LSCA)

Level of Visual Sensitivity	Definition
Very High	<ul> <li>Highly visible in wider area</li> <li>Forms part of exceptional / iconic / very highly valued views</li> <li>Internationally / nationally important visual function (context, setting, gateway, gap, screen, buffer, transition zone, skyline, panorama, vista, focal point, cultural association etc.)</li> <li>Very open to public or private views of the countryside or open space which are significant</li> <li>Development would create a significant degree of visual intrusion into the wider area that could not be mitigated</li> </ul>
High	<ul> <li>Visible in wider area</li> <li>Highly visible in local area</li> <li>Forms part of wider important / highly valued views</li> <li>Forms part of views of significant local value</li> <li>Important wider visual function</li> <li>Significant local visual function</li> <li>Very open to public or private views of the countryside or open space which are of wider importance</li> <li>Development would be uncharacteristically conspicuous in the wider area and mitigation unlikely to reduce adverse effects</li> <li>Development would create unacceptable visual intrusion into the local landscape that almost certainly could not be mitigated</li> </ul>
Medium	<ul> <li>Not visible from wider area or of no influence</li> <li>Locally visible but limited influence</li> <li>Views are of some wider importance but there is scope for mitigating potential adverse visual effects</li> <li>Locally highly-valued views</li> <li>Limited wider visual function</li> <li>Important local visual function</li> <li>Partially open to public or private views of the countryside or open space which are of wider importance</li> <li>Open to views public or private views of the countryside or open space which are of local importance</li> <li>Development likely to be perceptible in the wider area but would not significantly alter the balance of features or elements within the existing view</li> <li>Development would be uncharacteristically conspicuous in the local area and mitigation unlikely to reduce adverse effects</li> </ul>
Low	<ul> <li>Not visible from wider area</li> <li>Limited local visibility</li> <li>Views of limited importance</li> <li>Development could be acceptably integrated into the land- / town- / villagescape although possibly only with mitigation</li> <li>Site is fairly well-screened from public and private views</li> </ul>

Level of Visual Sensitivity	Definition
	Development may be discernible in the wider area but would not result in loss of, or change to, important views or wider visual amenity
	Development likely to be perceptible in the local area but would not significantly alter the balance of features or elements within the existing view
Very Low	<ul> <li>Little or no visibility</li> <li>Views of little or no importance</li> <li>Development would not lead to unacceptable visual intrusion into the landscape, or adverse effects on the settlement, with or without mitigation</li> <li>Site is very well-screened from public and private views</li> <li>Development would not be discernible or would enhance views or existing visual amenity</li> </ul>

Table 10: Criteria for Judging Levels of Visual Receptors' Susceptibility to Change

Level of Susceptibility	Criteria
Very High	<ul> <li>Receptors (tourists / visitors) within, or looking towards, internationally- or nationally- designated landscapes, areas and features such as World Heritage Sites, National Parks, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Registered Historic Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Grade I and II* listed buildings and other places where the landscape / feature is the main reason for the visit</li> <li>People using national trails and other designated routes where the view is likely to be the focus of attention</li> <li>People living in residential properties</li> <li>Communities where views contribute to the landscape setting enjoyed by residents in the area</li> </ul>
High	<ul> <li>Receptors (tourists / visitors) within, or looking towards, landscapes, areas and features with regional / countywide designations e.g. Areas of Great Landscape Value (AGLV), Country Parks, Conservation Areas, Grade II listed buildings, National Trust land etc. and other places where the landscape / feature is part of the reason for the visit</li> <li>People engaged in outdoor recreation e.g. walkers, riders, cyclists, boat users, motorists, whose attention may be focused on the landscape and / or particular views, and / or for whom the view is a factor in the enjoyment of the activity</li> <li>People travelling through the landscape on roads, rail or other routes on recognised scenic routes or where there is a distinct awareness of views of their</li> </ul>
Moderate	<ul> <li>Receptors within, or looking towards, undesignated landscapes, areas and features of local importance, and in places where the landscape / feature is not necessarily part of the reason for the visit</li> <li>People engaged in outdoor recreation whose attention is unlikely to be focused on the landscape and / or particular views, and / or for whom the view is not necessarily a factor in the enjoyment of the activity</li> <li>People staying in hotels and healthcare institutions who are likely to appreciate and / or benefit from views of their surroundings</li> <li>People working in premises where the views are likely to make an important contribution to the setting, and / or to the quality of working life</li> </ul>
Low	<ul> <li>Receptors in commercial and industrial premises, schools, playing fields etc. where the view is not central to the use</li> <li>People using main roads, rail corridors, infrequently used / inaccessible public rights of way and likely to be travelling for a purpose other than to enjoy the view</li> </ul>
Very Low	<ul> <li>People moving past the view often at high speed (e.g. on motorways and main line railways) and with little or no focus on or interest in the landscape through which they are travelling</li> </ul>

Table 11: Matrix for Evaluating Levels of Visual Receptor Sensitivity

	Level of Visual Susceptibility to Change					
		Very High	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
Level of Visual Value	Very High	Very High	High to Very High	High	Medium to High	Medium
	High	High to Very High	High	Medium to High	Medium	Low to Medium
	Moderate	High	Medium to High	Medium	Low to Medium	Low
	Low	Medium to High	Medium	Low to Medium	Low	Very Low to Low
	Very Low	Medium	Low to Medium	Low	Very Low to Low	Very Low

Table 12: Criteria for Judging Levels of Magnitude of Effect (Views & Visual Amenity)

Level of Magnitude	Definition
Very Large Adverse	Significant and substantial deterioration in, or a significant and substantial change to, a very large proportion of the existing view
7.0.70.00	Complete loss of, or substantial change to, site's visual function / contribution
	The change may be noticeable over a large geographical area, or substantial over a more limited area
	Development, or a large part of it, would be a dominant new component and / or focus in the view, and would have a strongly-defining influence on it
	The duration of effect would be considered permanent and is likely to be irreversible
Large	Development would cause a noticeable deterioration in, or a noticeable change to, a large proportion of the existing view, or significant deterioration in or a significant change to a smaller proportion of the existing view
	Noticeable loss of, or change to, site's visual function / contribution
	Development, or a large part of it, would be a significant new component and / or focus in the view, and would have a defining influence on it
	The duration of effect would be considered long-term / permanent and would be very difficult to reverse in practical terms
Moderate Adverse	Development would cause a partial deterioration in, or change to, a large proportion of the existing view, or noticeable deterioration in, or change to, a smaller proportion of the existing view
	Partial loss of, or change to, site's visual function / contribution
	Development appears at odds with local landscape character and would form an apparent element within local views
	The duration of effect would be considered long-term / permanent but is potentially reversible
Small Adverse	Development would cause a small deterioration in, or change to, a large proportion of the existing view, or a partial deterioration in, or change to, a smaller proportion of the existing view
	Small change to site's visual function / contribution
	Development would form a minor constituent of the view, being partially- visible, or at a sufficient distance to be a limited component of a view
	The duration of effect may be considered long-term / permanent but is easily reversible; or, the duration may be medium-term
Negligible Adverse	Development would cause a barely-perceptible deterioration in, or change to, the existing view
	Barely-perceptible change to site's visual function / contribution
	The duration of effect may be considered temporary (i.e. short- or medium-term); if long-term, effects are easily reversible and this is likely to happen
Neutral	No change to the existing view
Negligible Beneficial	Development would result in a barely-discernible improvement in the existing view
	Improvements are temporary (i.e. short- or medium-term)
Small Beneficial	<ul> <li>Development would result in a small improvement in the existing view</li> <li>Improvements are medium- to long-term</li> </ul>
	Improvemente die mediam- to long-teilli

Level of Magnitude	Definition
Moderate Beneficial	<ul> <li>Development would result in a noticeable improvement to a large proportion of the existing view, or locally-important improvement to a smaller proportion of the existing view</li> <li>Improvements are long-term / permanent</li> </ul>
Large Beneficial	<ul> <li>Development would result in an important improvement to a large proportion of the existing view, or significant improvement to a smaller proportion of the existing view</li> <li>Improvements are long-term / permanent</li> </ul>
Very Large Beneficial	<ul> <li>Development would result in a significant improvement to a large proportion of the existing view</li> <li>Improvements are permanent</li> </ul>

## Table 13: Matrix for Determining Overall Levels of Visual Effects

**NOTE 1:** The level of Magnitude of Effect can be expressed as Adverse or Beneficial, and the overall Level of Effect can be expressed as Negative or Positive.

NOTE 2: If the Magnitude of Effect is Neutral (i.e. 'No Change'), all effects will be Neutral

		Sensitivity of Receptor						
		Very High	Very High High		Low	Very Low		
	Very Large	Major	Major	Moderate to Major	Moderate	Moderate		
Effect	<b>Large</b> Major		Moderate to Major	Moderate	Moderate	Minor to Moderate		
Level of Magnitude of Effect	Moderate	Moderate Moderate to Major		Moderate	Minor to Moderate	Minor		
	Small	Moderate	Moderate	Minor to Moderate	Minor	Negligible to Minor		
	Negligible	<b>Negligible</b> Moderate		Minor	Negligible to Minor	Negligible		

Table 14: Matrix for Determining Overall Levels of Landscape Capacity (LSCA)

## **Colour Key**

Very High	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
-----------	------	----------	-----	----------

		Very High		High		Moderate		Low		Very Low		
		Level of Landscape Value										
Level of Landscape Character & Visual Sensitivity	Very High	Very Low		Very Low	Low	Lo	ow	Low Mod		Moderate		
	High	Very Low	Low	Lo	ow	Low	Mod	Moderate		Mod	High	
	Moderate	Low		Low	Mod	Mod	erate	Mod High		Hi	High	
	Low	Low	Mod	Mod	erate	Mod	High	High		High	Very High	
ר	Very Low	Mod		Mod	High	High		High	High Very Very Hig		High	